

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/699,162	10/31/2003	Mika Forssell	27592-00433	1603	
30678 CONNOLLY I	7590 . 02/12/2008 BOVE LODGE & HUTZ I	ŢÞ	EXAMINER		
1875 EYE STREET, N.W.			MEW, KEVIN D		
SUITE 1100 WASHINGTO	N. DC 20036		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	,		2616		
		•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
,			02/12/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
✓ Advisory Action	10/699,162	FORSSELL ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kevin Mew	2616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 17 January 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. 						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because						
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be)W); ttor form for appeal by materially r	educing or simplifying	the issues for			
appeal; and/or			,			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Notificontpliant Amendment (* 101-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
6. Newly proposed or amended daim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable daim(s).						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 20-40.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered						
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:						
•						

On page 1, last paragraph and page 2, paragraph 1 of the Remarks, applicant argued that Noneman does not teach or suggest "a passive period, during which packets are not transferred from one of the radio resource entities to the other radio resource entity over the connection," examiner respectfully disagrees. It is noted that Noneman discloses in col. 2, lines 14-26, col. 5, lines 47-57, and abstract, lines 1-6, that when there is no packet data is available the data rate is reduced to idle rate and when no packets are being transmitted, one or more idle frames are transmitted at the idle rate. In other words, it is interpreted by examiner that the idle frames carry no packet data transmission and are transmitted at idle rate to allow receiving end of a channel to stay synchronized with the transmitter. Thus, Noneman teaches "a passive period, during which packets are not transferred from one of the radio resource entities to the other radio resource entity over the connection" as recited in claim 1. The above arguments made by examiner are also applicable to claims 24, 31, 34 and their dependent claims 25-30, 32, 33, 35-40.

In light og the foregoing reasons, daims 20-26, 29, 31-36, 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Noneman et al. (USP 5,708,656), daims 27-28, 37-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Noneman in view of Koenck et al. (US Publication 2007/0001007), and claims 30, 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Noneman in view of Moore et al. (USP 4,251,865).

CHI PHAMI

UDERVISORY PATENT EN